2. Cover





Version 1.0

Please Note:

- You are reminded that much of the data in this template, to which you have privileged access, is management information only and is not in the public domain. It is not to be shared more widely than is necessary to complete the return.
- Please prevent inappropriate use by treating this information as restricted, refrain from passing information on to others and use it only for the purposes for which it is provided. Any accidental or wrongful release should be reported immediately and may lead to an inquiry. Wrongful release includes indications of the content, including such descriptions as "favourable" or "unfavourable".
- Please note that national data for plans is intended for release in aggregate form once plans have been assured, agreed and baselined as per the due process outlined in the BCF Planning Requirements for 2021-22.
- This template is password protected to ensure data integrity and accurate aggregation of collected information. A resubmission may be required if this is breached.

Health and Wellbeing Board:	Manchester
Completed by:	Owen Boxx
E-mail:	
Contact number:	
Please indicate who is signing off the plan for submission on behalf of the HW	/B (delegated authority is also accepted):
Job Title:	Director of Population Health and Wellbeing
Name:	David Regan
Has this plan been signed off by the HWB at the time of submission?	Yes

		Professional Title (where			
	Role:	applicable)	First-name:	Surname:	E-mail:
*Area Assurance Contact Details:	Health and Wellbeing Board Chair	Cllr	Bev	_	cllr.bev.craig@manchester. gov.uk
	Clinical Commissioning Group Accountable Officer (Lead)	Mr	lan	Williamson	
	Additional Clinical Commissioning Group(s) Accountable Officers	Mr	Ed	Dyson	
	Local Authority Chief Executive	Ms	Joanne	Roney	j.roney@manchester.gov.u k
	Local Authority Director of Adult Social Services (or equivalent)	Ms	Bernie	_	bernadette.enright@manc hester.gov.uk
	Better Care Fund Lead Official	Mr	David	_	d.regan@manchester.gov. uk
	LA Section 151 Officer	Ms	Carol		carol.culley@manchester.g ov.uk
Please add further area contacts that you would wish to be included in					
official correspondence>					

^{*}Only those identified will be addressed in official correspondence (such as approval letters). Please ensure all individuals are satisfied with the information entered above as this is exactly how they will appear in correspondence.

Question Completion - When all questions have been answered and the validation boxes below have turned green, please send the template to the Better Care Fund Team england.bettercarefundteam@nhs.net saving the file as 'Name HWB' for example 'County Durham HWB'. Please also copy in your Better Care Manager.

Template Completed		
	Complete:	
2. Cover	Yes	
4. Income	Yes	
5a. Expenditure	Yes	
6. Metrics	Yes	
7. Planning Requirements	Yes	

^^ Link back to top

3. Summary

Selected Health and Wellbeing Board: Manchester

Income & Expenditure

Income >>

Funding Sources	Income	Expenditure	Difference
DFG	£8,482,757	£8,482,757	£0
Minimum CCG Contribution	£47,264,693	£17,103,241	£30,161,452
iBCF	£30,815,774	£30,815,774	£0
Additional LA Contribution	£0	£0	£0
Additional CCG Contribution	£0	£0	£0
Total	£86,563,224	£56,401,772	£30,161,452

Expenditure >>

NHS Commissioned Out of Hospital spend from the minimum CCG allocation

Minimum required spend	£13,431,286	
Planned spend	£0 P	Planned spend is less than the minimum require

Adult Social Care services spend from the minimum CCG allocations

Minimum required spend	£17,103,241
Planned spend	£17,103,241

Scheme Types

scheme Types		
Assistive Technologies and Equipment	£418,959	(0.7%)
Care Act Implementation Related Duties	£2,002,751	(3.6%)
Carers Services	£0	(0.0%)
Community Based Schemes	£0	(0.0%)
DFG Related Schemes	£8,482,757	(15.0%)
Enablers for Integration	£28,149,724	(49.9%)
High Impact Change Model for Managing Transfer of	£365,000	(0.6%)
Home Care or Domiciliary Care	£3,410,731	(6.0%)
Housing Related Schemes	£0	(0.0%)
Integrated Care Planning and Navigation	£6,410,595	(11.4%)
Bed based intermediate Care Services	£0	(0.0%)
Reablement in a persons own home	£1,879,872	(3.3%)
Personalised Budgeting and Commissioning	£0	(0.0%)
Personalised Care at Home	£0	(0.0%)
Prevention / Early Intervention	£0	(0.0%)
Residential Placements	£5,281,383	(9.4%)
Other	£0	(0.0%)
Total	£56,401,772	

Metrics >>

Avoidable admissions

	20-21	21-22
	Actual	Plan
Unplanned hospitalisation for chronic ambulatory care sensitive		
conditions	739.4	720.0
(NHS Outcome Framework indicator 2.3i)		

Length of Stay

		21-22 Q3	21-22 Q4
		Plan	Plan
Percentage of in patients, resident in the HWB, who have been an inpatient in an acute hospital for: i) 14 days or more	LOS 14+	1.4%	1.3%
ii) 21 days or more As a percentage of all inpatients	LOS 21+	1.8%	1.7%

Discharge to normal place of residence

		21-22
	0	Plan
Percentage of people, resident in the HWB, who are discharged from acute hospital to their normal place of residence	0.0%	96.2%

Residential Admissions

	20-21	21-22
	Actual	Plan
Long-term support needs of older people (age 65 and		1.000
over) met by admission to residential and nursing care Annual Rate	760	1,908
homes, per 100,000 population		

Reablement

		21-22
		Plan
Proportion of older people (65 and over) who were		
still at home 91 days after discharge from hospital into A	Annual (%)	85.0%
reablement / rehabilitation services		

Planning Requirements >>

Theme	Code	Response
	PR1	Yes
NC1: Jointly agreed plan	PR2	Yes

	PR3	Yes
NC2: Social Care Maintenance	PR4	Yes
NC3: NHS commissioned Out of Hospital Services	PR5	Yes
NC4: Plan for improving outcomes for people being discharged from hospital	PR6	Yes
Agreed expenditure plan for all elements of the BCF	PR7	Yes
Metrics	PR8	Yes

4. Income

Selected Health and Wellbeing Board: Manchester

Local Authority Contribution		
Disabled Facilities Grant (DFG)	Gross Contribution	
Manchester	£8,482,757	
DFG breakerdown for two-tier areas only (where applicable)		
Total Minimum LA Contribution (exc iBCF)	£8,482,757	

iBCF Contribution	Contribution
Manchester	£30,815,774
Total iBCF Contribution	£30,815,774

Are any additional LA Contributions being made in 2021-22? If yes, please detail below

Local Authority Additional Contribution		Comments - Please use this box clarify any specific uses or sources of funding
Total Additional Local Authority Contribution	£0	

£47,264,693
£47,264,693

Are any additional CCG Contributions being made in 2021-22? If	No
yes, please detail below	INO

Additional CCG Contribution	Contribution	Comments - Please use this box clarify any specific uses or sources of funding
Additional ced contribution	Contribution	uses of sources of furfuling
Total Additional CCG Contribution	£0	
Total CCG Contribution	£47,264,693	

	2021-22
Total BCF Pooled Budget	£86,563,224

Funding Contributions Comments Optional for any useful detail e.g. Carry over	

5. Expenditure

Selected Health and We	ellbeing Board:
------------------------	-----------------

Manchester

<< Link to summary sheet

Running Balances	Income	Expenditure	Balance
DFG	£8,482,757	£8,482,757	£0
Minimum CCG Contribution	£47,264,693	£17,103,241	£30,161,452
iBCF	£30,815,774	£30,815,774	£0
Additional LA Contribution	£0	£0	£0
Additional CCG Contribution	£0	£0	£0
Total	£86,563,224	£56,401,772	£30,161,452

Required Spend

This is in relation to National Conditions 2 and 3 only. It does NOT make up the total Minimum CCG Contribution (on row 31 above).

	Minimum Required Spend	Planned Spend	Under Spend
NHS Commissioned Out of Hospital spend from the minimum	542 424 205	80	642 424 206
CCG allocation	£13,431,286	£0	£13,431,286
Adult Social Care services spend from the minimum CCG			
allocations	£17,103,241	£17,103,241	£0

Planned spend is less than the minimum required spend

Checklist
Column complete:

One or more National Conditionals are not met (see second table at top of this sheet)

									Plani	ned Expenditure				
Scheme ID	Scheme Name	Brief Description of Scheme	Scheme Type	Sub Types	Please specify if 'Scheme Type' is 'Other'	Area of Spend	Please specify if 'Area of Spend' is 'other'	Commissioner	% NHS (if Joint Commissioner)	% LA (if Joint Commissioner)		Source of Funding		New/ Existing Scheme
1	DFG	The DFG is a means- tested capital grant to help meet the costs of	DFG Related Schemes	Adaptations, including statutory DFG grants		Social Care		LA			Local Authority	DFG	£8,482,757	Existing
2	Improved Better Care Fund		Enablers for Integration	Integrated models of provision		Social Care		LA			Local Authority	iBCF	£28,149,724	Existing
3	Winter Pressures Grant	l' '	Integrated Care Planning and Navigation	Care navigation and planning		Social Care		LA			Local Authority	iBCF	£2,196,050	Existing
4	Winter Pressures Grant		Home Care or Domiciliary Care	Domiciliary care packages		Social Care		LA			Local Authority	iBCF	£105,000	Existing
5	Winter Pressures Grant		High Impact Change Model for Managing Transfer			Social Care		LA			Local Authority	iBCF	£365,000	Existing
6	Care Act	Funding to cover changes in the legislation	Care Act		Safeguarding, financial assessments,	Social Care		LA			Local Authority	Minimum CCG Contribution	£2,002,751	Existing
7	Social Care		Residential Placements	Care home		Social Care		LA			Local Authority	Minimum CCG Contribution	£3,250,113	Existing

9 So		Protection of ASC: variety of spend such as social workers,		Nursing home		Social Care	LA		Local Authority	Minimum CCG Contribution	£1,481,000 l	Existing
			Placements							(Contribution		
		cacial warkers								Contribution		
				Telecare		Social Care	LA		Local Authority	Minimum CCG	£118,959 I	Existing
			Technologies and							Contribution		
		social workers,	Equipment									
10 So	ocial Care	Protection of ASC:	Assistive	Community based		Social Care	LA		Local Authority	Minimum CCG	£300,000 l	Existing
		variety of spend such as	Technologies and	equipment						Contribution		
			Equipment									
11 So				Reablement		Social Care	LA		Local Authority	Minimum CCG	£1,879,872	Existing
				service accepting						Contribution	,_,	
				community and								
12 So				Assessment		Social Care	LA		Local Authority	Minimum CCG	£2,191,055 I	Evicting
12 30						Social Care	LA		Local Authority		12,191,033	EXISTING
				teams/joint						Contribution		
				assessment								
13 So				Domiciliary care		Social Care	LA		Local Authority	Minimum CCG	£2,447,731	Existing
			Domiciliary Care	packages						Contribution		
		social workers,										
14 So	ocial Care	Protection of ASC:	Residential	Other	Supported	Social Care	LA		Local Authority	Minimum CCG	£550,270 I	Existing
		variety of spend such as	Placements		Accommodation,					Contribution		
		social workers,			Day Care, Adult							
15 So			Integrated Care	Assessment		Social Care	LA		Local Authority	Minimum CCG	£2,023,490 l	Existing
			_	teams/joint					,	Contribution	, ,	Ü
				assessment								
16 So	ocial Care - Extra			Domiciliary care		Social Care	LA		Local Authority	Minimum CCG	£858,000 I	Now
						Social Care	LA		Local Authority	Contribution	1838,000	INCW
Ca			Domiciliary Care	packages						Contribution		
		to enable people to										

2021-22 Revised Scheme types

Number	Scheme type/ services	Sub type	Description		
	Assistive Technologies and Equipment	1. Telecare	Using technology in care processes to supportive self-management,		
		Wellness services Digital participation services Community based equipment Other	maintenance of independence and more efficient and effective delivery of care. (eg. Telecare, Wellness services, Community based equipment, Digital participation services).		
2	Care Act Implementation Related Duties	Carer advice and support Independent Mental Health Advocacy Other	Funding planned towards the implementation of Care Act related duties. The specific scheme sub types reflect specific duties that are funded via the CCG minimum contribution to the BCF.		
3	Carers Services	Respite services Other	Supporting people to sustain their role as carers and reduce the likelihood of crisis. This might include respite care/carers breaks, information, assessment, emotional and physical support, training, access to services to support		
4	Community Based Schemes	Integrated neighbourhood services Multidisciplinary teams that are supporting independence, such as anticipatory care Low level support for simple hospital discharges (Discharge to Assess pathway 0)	wellbeing and improve independence. Schemes that are based in the community and constitute a range of cross sector practitioners delivering collaborative services in the community typically at a neighbourhood/PCN level (eg: Integrated Neighbourhood		
	DFG Related Schemes	4. Other	Teams) Reablement services shoukld be recorded under the specific scheme type 'Reablement in a person's own home' The DFG is a means-tested capital grant to help meet the costs of adapting a		
5	DPG Related Schemes	1. Adaptations, including statutory DFG grants 2. Discretionary use of DFG - including small adaptations 3. Handyperson services 4. Other	property; supporting people to stay independent in their own homes. The grant can also be used to fund discretionary, capital spend to support people to remain independent in their own homes under a Regulatory Reform Order, if a published policy on doing so is in place. Schemes using this flexibility can be recorded under 'discretionary use of DFG' or 'handyperson services' as appropriate		
6	Enablers for Integration	1. Data Integration 2. System IT Interoperability 3. Programme management 4. Research and evaluation 5. Workforce development 6. Community asset mapping 7. New governance arrangements 8. Voluntary Sector Business Development 9. Employment services 10. Joint commissioning infrastructure 11. Integrated models of provision 12. Other	Schemes that build and develop the enabling foundations of health, social care and housing integration, encompassing a wide range of potential areas including technology, workforce, market development (Voluntary Sector Business Development: Funding the business development and preparedness of local voluntary sector into provider Alliances/ Collaboratives) and programme management related schemes. Joint commissioning infrastructure includes any personnel or teams that enable joint commissioning. Schemes could be focused on Data Integration, System IT Interoperability, Programme management, Research and evaluation, Supporting the Care Market, Workforce development, Community asset mapping, New governance arrangements, Voluntary Sector Development, Employment services, Joint commissioning infrastructure amongst others.		
7	High Impact Change Model for Managing Transfer of Care	1. Early Discharge Planning 2. Monitoring and responding to system demand and capacity 3. Multi-Disciplinary/Multi-Agency Discharge Teams supporting discharge 4. Home First/Discharge to Assess - process support/core costs 5. Flexible working patterns (including 7 day working) 6. Trusted Assessment 7. Engagement and Choice 8. Improved discharge to Care Homes 9. Housing and related services 10. Red Bag scheme 11. Other	The eight changes or approaches identified as having a high impact on supporting timely and effective discharge through joint working across the social and health system. The Hospital to Home Transfer Protocol or the 'Red Bag' scheme, while not in the HICM, is included in this section.		
8	Home Care or Domiciliary Care	Domiciliary care packages Domiciliary care to support hospital discharge (Discharge to Assess pathway 1) Domiciliary care workforce development Other	A range of services that aim to help people live in their own homes through the provision of domiciliary care including personal care, domestic tasks, shopping, home maintenance and social activities. Home care can link with other services in the community, such as supported housing, community health services and voluntary sector services.		
9	Housing Related Schemes		This covers expenditure on housing and housing-related services other than adaptations; eg: supported housing units.		
10	Integrated Care Planning and Navigation	1. Care navigation and planning 2. Assessment teams/joint assessment 3. Support for implementation of anticipatory care 4. Other	Care navigation services help people find their way to appropriate services and support and consequently support self-management. Also, the assistance offered to people in navigating through the complex health and social care systems (across primary care, community and voluntary services and social care) to overcome barriers in accessing the most appropriate care and support. Multi-agency teams typically provide these services which can be online or face to face care navigators for frail elderly, or dementia navigators etc. This includes approaches such as Anticipatory Care, which aims to provide holistic, co-ordinated care for complex individuals. Integrated care planning constitutes a co-ordinated, person centred and proactive case management approach to conduct joint assessments of care needs and develop integrated care plans typically carried out by professionals as part of a multi-disciplinary, multi-agency teams. Note: For Multi-Disciplinary Discharge Teams related specifically to discharge, please select HICM as scheme type and the relevant sub-type. Where the planned unit of care delivery and funding is in the form of Integrated care packages and needs to be expressed in such a manner, please select the appropriate sub-type alongside.		
11	Bed based intermediate Care Services	Step down (discharge to assess pathway-2) Step up Rapid/Crisis Response Other	Short-term intervention to preserve the independence of people who might otherwise face unnecessarily prolonged hospital stays or avoidable admission to hospital or residential care. The care is person-centred and often delivered by a combination of professional groups. Four service models of intermediate care are: bed-based intermediate care, crisis or rapid response (including falls), home-based intermediate care is covered in Scheme-A and the other three models are available on the sub-types.		
12	Reablement in a persons own home	Preventing admissions to acute setting Reablement to support discharge -step down (Discharge to Assess pathway 1) Rapid/Crisis Response - step up (2 hr response) Reablement service accepting community and discharge referrals Other	Provides support in your own home to improve your confidence and ability to live as independently as possible		
13	Personalised Budgeting and Commissioning		Various person centred approaches to commissioning and budgeting, including direct payments.		
14	Personalised Care at Home	Mental health/wellbeing Physical health/wellbeing Other	Schemes specifically designed to ensure that a person can continue to live at home, through the provision of health related support at home often complemented with support for home care needs or mental health needs. This could include promoting self-management/expert patient, establishment of 'home ward' for intensive period or to deliver support over the longer term to maintain independence or offer end of life care for people. Intermediate care services provide shorter term support and care interventions as opposed to the ongoing support provided in this scheme type.		
15	Prevention / Early Intervention	1. Social Prescribing 2. Risk Stratification 3. Choice Policy 4. Other	Services or schemes where the population or identified high-risk groups are empowered and activated to live well in the holistic sense thereby helping prevent people from entering the care system in the first place. These are essentially upstream prevention initiatives to promote independence and well being.		
16	Residential Placements	1. Supported living 2. Supported accommodation 3. Learning disability 4. Extra care 5. Care home 6. Nursing home 7. Discharge from hospital (with reablement) to long term residential care (Discharge to Assess Pathway 3) 8. Other	Residential placements provide accommodation for people with learning or physical disabilities, mental health difficulties or with sight or hearing loss, who need more intensive or specialised support than can be provided at home.		
17	Other		Where the scheme is not adequately represented by the above scheme types, please outline the objectives and services planned for the scheme in a short description in the comments column.		

6. Metrics

Selected Health and Wellbeing Board:

Manchester

8.1 Avoidable admissions

	19-20 Actual	20-21 Actual	21-22 Plan	Overview Narrative
Unplanned hospitalisation for chronic ambulatory care sensitive conditions (NHS Outcome Framework indicator 2.3i)	Available from NHS Digital (link below) at local authority level. Please use as guideline only	739.4	720.0	Total admissions for 2020/21 - 4088. Population 552858. The crisis response team is embedded across the city. Team includes a nurse, therapist and practitioner who can then call out team to support the person to stay at home. They will then contact reablement within 72 hours to ensure that a full package of care can be put in

Please set out the overall plan in the HWB area for reducing rates of unplanned hospitalisation for chronic ambulatory sensitive conditions, including any assessment of how the schemes and enabling activity for Health and Social Care Integration are expected to impact on the metric.

>> link to NHS Digital webpage

8.2 Length of Stay

		21-22 Q3 Plan	Comments
Percentage of in patients, resident in the HWB, who have been an inpatient in an acute hospital for: i) 14 days or more	Proportion of inpatients resident for 14 days or more	1.4%	Q1 21-22 14 day admissions 725 21 day admissions 903 Total admissions 51293
ii) 21 days or more As a percentage of all inpatients (SUS data - available on the Better Care Exchange)	Proportion of inpatients resident for 21 days or more	1.8%	Q2 21-22 14 day admissions 21 day admissions Total admissions

Please set out the overall plan in the HWB area for reducing the percentage of hospital inpatients with a long length of stay (14 days or over and 21 days and over) including a rationale for the ambitions that sets out how these have been reached in partnership with local hospital trusts, and an assessment of how the schemes and enabling activity in the BCF are expected to impact on the metric. See the main planning requirements document for more information.

8.3 Discharge to normal place of residence

	21 22	
	Plan	Comments
Percentage of people, resident in the HWB, who are discharged from acute hospital to their normal place of residence (SUS data - available on the Better Care Exchange)	96.2%	2020/21 Normal place of residence 162996, Population 169484. There are 25 short stay neighbourhood apartments to help people to leave hospital quicker. This allows people to transitition to their own home or into other accommodation including Extracare or residential

Please set out the overall plan in the HWB area for improving the percentage of people who return to their normal place of residence on discharge from acute hospital, including a rationale for how the ambition was reached and an assessment of how the schemes and enabling activity in the BCF are expected to impact on the metric. See the main planning requirements document for more information.

8.4 Residential Admissions

		19-20	19-20	20-21	21-22	
		Plan	Actual	Actual	Plan	Comments
Long-term support needs of older	Annual Rate	784	809	760		D2A model pilot has reduced the rates of permanent Residential and Nursing home placements as more

Please set out the overall plan in the HWB area for reducing rates of admission to residential and nursing

people (age ob and over) met by							•
						people are going home. People who are being	homes for people over the
admission to residential and						FF 8- 8	
	Numerator	405	416	392	1,000	discharged into residential care are still assessed and will	assessment of how the sch
nursing care homes, per 100,000					-	<u> </u>	
nonulation						be stepped down to more appropriate provision if their	Health and Social Care Into
population	Denominator	51.631	51.441	51.557	52 417	conditionn approves.	on the metric.
	Denominator	31,031	31,771	31,337	32,717	conditionin approves.	on the metric

homes for people over the age of 65, including any assessment of how the schemes and enabling activity for Health and Social Care Integration are expected to impact on the metric.

Long-term support needs of older people (age 65 and over) met by admission to residential and nursing care homes, per 100,000 population (aged 65+) population projections are based on a calendar year using the 2018 based Sub-National Population Projections for Local Authorities in England:

 $\underline{https://www.ons.gov.uk/releases/subnational population projections for england 2018 based}$

8.5 Reablement

		19-20 Plan	19-20 Actual
Proportion of older people (65 and over) who were still at home 91 days after discharge from hospital into reablement / rehabilitation services	Annual (%) Numerator	80.0%	61.1%
Sel Vices	Denominator	1,030	489

21-22	
Plan	Comments
	20/21 rate was 63.47% (note includes intermediate care
85.0%	as per the ASCOF 2B definition). For 19/20 the number
	of people who were at home 90 days after being
850	discharged under a reablement package was over 82%.
	The lower figure for 18/20 will also reflect the amount of
1,000	people who are discharged to intermediate care. Going

Please set out the overall plan in the HWB area for increasing the proportion of older people who are still at home 91 days after discharge from hospital into reablement/rehabilitation, including any assessment of how the schemes and enabling activity for Health and Social Care Integration are expected to impact on the metric.

Please note that due to the splitting of Northamptonshire, information from previous years will not reflect the present geographies. As such, all pre-populated figures above for Northamptonshire have been combined.

For North Northamptonshire HWB and West Northamptonshire HWB, please comment on individual HWBs rather than Northamptonshire as a whole.